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## Context

Lincoln Public Schools has a long-standing commitment to the achievement of all its students. Full access to a rigorous core curriculum is a priority, and the district consistently allocates resources to provide quality learning experiences and support for all students. Despite these efforts, achievement gaps have persisted, and various efforts have been made to address the needs of students who have not achieved at a level commensurate with that of grade-level peers.

This report disaggregates recent assessment data to provide a more detailed picture of academic achievement and growth by subgroups of students. The student subgroups analyzed derive from categories outlined in state and / or federal reporting guidelines:

- High Needs, including ELL and Former ELL, Low Income, and Students with Disabilities;
- Race / Ethnicity, including African American, Asian, Hispanic/Latino, Multiple Race / NonLatino, and White; and
- Gender.

Because the LPS student population is small, we present data in grade spans in order to provide a scale sufficient to safeguard student identities and to ensure the validity of our analysis. It should be noted that the identification of students' race and ethnicity is done by parents when registering their children for school.

The assessment data analyzed in this report include Spring 2014 MCAS results and Spring 2014 Fountas and Pinnell reading results.

## Considerations Regarding MCAS

We present MCAS data with two key issues in mind:

- We cluster the grades by school and / or campus because the subgroups are too small to report and analyze at individual grade levels.
- The smaller the subgroup size, the more one student's performance makes a difference in percentage points.


## Student Growth Percentile Distribution

Student Growth Percentile (SGP) is a measure of how individual students have grown in comparison to students across the state who scored similarly in previous years. Students with an SGP between 40 and 60 are considered to have moderate growth. Students with an SGP from 0-40 are considered to have Low or Very Low Growth, and students with an SGP from 60-100 are considered to have High or Very High Growth.

Because SGP measures growth, its calculation requires that students have MCAS scores for two or more years. Given the high rate of student mobility on the Hanscom campus, the percentage of Hanscom students for whom we can calculate SGP is much smaller than that of Lincoln School.

## MCAS Analysis: Achievement and Growth by Student Subgroups

For each of the three subgroup domains (High Needs, Race / Ethnicity, and Gender), this report provides two frames of reference. The first is a brief list of bulleted points that highlights important stories within the numbers. The second is a set of graphs that visually represents the assessment data. The data used in this report come from both the state's data warehouse service and LPS internal data systems.

Within the bulleted points, for High Needs and Race/Ethnicity, we group information into strengths and challenges. These points are not meant to be a comprehensive analysis of subgroup growth and performance. They are, rather, places from which we believe important conversations need to begin.

For the Gender domain, we make a brief series of statements about achievement gaps by gender subgroups.

Graphs 1-12 all use a similar visual format: the colors blue, green, and red are used to signify levels of achievement and growth. Within each graph, data have been organized by location, either across the state (S), on the Hanscom campus (H), or in Lincoln School (L).

## 1. Student Subgroups: High Needs

## Strengths

- Overall, Hanscom students in the High Needs subgroup achieve in both ELA and Math at levels similar to those of students from across the state. (Graphs 1 and 2)
- High Needs students in Lincoln School score higher when compared with students from across the state. (Graphs 1 and 2)
- On both campuses, low-income students achieve at higher levels in ELA than do low-income students from across the state. (Graph 1)
- The growth rates (SGP) of low-income students are relatively high in both ELA and Math. (Graphs 3 and 4)


## Challenges

- The achievement of students with disabilities continues to lag far behind that of LPS students without disabilities. (Graphs 1 and 2)
- Hanscom: over three quarters of the students with disabilities score $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{NI}$ in both ELA and Math. (Graphs 1 and 2)
- Lincoln: over half of the students with disabilities score W/NI in ELA and Math. (Graphs 1 and 2)
- Students with disabilities on the Hanscom campus show particularly low rates of growth in ELA; only 10\% have growth that is High or Very High. (Graph 3)
- Low-income students on both campuses achieve at lower levels than the LPS general population in both ELA and Math. This difference is more pronounced on the Lincoln Campus. (Graphs 1 and 2)

Graph 1


* High Needs is an unduplicated count of students belonging to any of the following three subgroups - ELL \& Former ELL, Low Income, and Students w/ Disabilities.


## Graph 2



[^0]**The first number is for ELA; the number in parentheses is for Math. When only one number appears, an equal number of students participated in both the ELA and Math exams.

| Number of students <br> by subgroup** | All <br> Students | High Needs |  <br> Former ELL | Low Income | Students with <br> Disabilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hanscom Campus $\mathbb{N}$ | $305(312)$ | $80(87)$ | - | $41(47)$ | $42(45)$ |
| Lincoln Campus $N$ | 378 | $108(107)$ | $13(12)$ | 39 | 76 |

Graph 3


* High Needs is an unduplicated count of students belonging to any of the following three subgroups - ELL \& Former ELL, Low Income, and Students w/ Disabilities.


## Graph 4



* High Needs is an unduplicated count of students belonging to any of the following three subgroups - ELL \& Former ELL, Low Income, and Students w/ Disabilities.
**The first number is for ELA; the number in parentheses is for Math. When only one number appears, an equal number of students participated in both the ELA and Math exams.

| Number of students <br> by subgroup** | All <br> Students | High Needs |  <br> Former ELL | Low Income | Students with <br> Disabilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hanscom MS N | $159(164)$ | $43(46)$ | - | $23(25)$ | $20(23)$ |
| Lincoln Campus N | $303(304)$ | $82(81)$ | - | 32 |  |

## 2. Student Subgroups: Race/Ethnicity

## Strengths

- Latino students on both the Lincoln and Hanscom campuses score at higher levels in both ELA and Math than do Latino students across the state. (Graphs 5 and 6)
- African American students on both campuses score better in ELA than do African American students across the state. (Graph 5)
- Lincoln School: African American students score Advanced at more than two times the rate of African American students across the state. (Graph 5)
- African American students show strong levels of student growth in ELA, with $60 \%$ having an SGP of High or Very High. (Graph 7)


## Challenges

- Too many of our African American students score poorly (W/NI) on the Math MCAS:
- Hanscom: Two thirds of African American students score W/NI.
- Lincoln: 45\% of African American students score at W/NI. (Graph 6)
- The achievement of African American and Latino students lags behind that of their White and Asian peers in both ELA and Math - on both campuses. (Graphs 5 and 6)
- Multi-Race students on the two campuses show very different patterns of achievement. (Graphs 5 and 6)
- Differences in Math achievement are among racial/ethnic subgroups is much higher on the Lincoln campus than on the Hanscom campus. (Graph 6)

Graph 5


Graph 6

**The first number is for ELA; the number in parentheses is for Math. When only one number appears, an equal number of students participated in both the ELA and Math exams.

| Number of students <br> by subgroup** | African <br> American | Asian | Hispanic/ <br> Latino | Multi-Race, <br> Non- Hispanic | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hanscom Campus N | 33 | - | $50(53)$ | $25(24)$ |  |
| Lincoln Campus N | 42 | $33(32)$ | 35 | $25(184)$ |  |

Graph 7


## Graph 8



| Number of students <br> by subgroup** | African <br> American | Asian | Hispanic/ <br> Latino | Multi-Race, <br> Non- <br> Hispanic | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hanscom MS N | 15 | - | $23(24)$ | 13 | $99(103)$ |
| Lincoln Campus N | 36 | 29 | 29 | 21 | $187(188)$ |

[^1]
## 3. Student Subgroups: Gender

For the Gender subgroup analysis, rather than list important points by strength and challenge, we provide the following observations:

- Across the state and on both LPS campuses, there is a gender gap in ELA performance, with girls typically scoring higher than boys. The gaps on our campuses, however, are greater than the gap in the overall state population. (Graph 9)
- While there is no gender gap at the state level in MCAS Math achievement, there is a gender gap in LPS. Boys score higher than girls on both campuses. This gender gap in Math achievement is smaller than the gender gap in ELA. (Graphs 9 and 10)
- On both campuses, girls outpace boys in terms of student growth in both ELA and Math. (Graphs 11 and 12)

Graph 9
GENDER ELA Performance Levels Gr.3-8, MCAS 2014


Graph 10


| Number of students by <br> subgroup** | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hanscom Campus N | $163(166)$ | $142(146)$ |
| Lincoln Campus N | $198(197)$ | $180(181)$ |

[^2]Graph 11


## Graph 12



| Number of students by <br> subgroup** | Female | Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hanscom MS N | 83 | $76(81)$ |
| Lincoln Campus N | $162(161)$ | $141(143)$ |

[^3]
## Fountas and Pinnell Reading Data Analysis

The Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System (F\&P), used in grades K-5, is an assessment of oral reading abilities and reading comprehension. It is a one-on-one measure; teachers sit with an individual student, listen to and notate the student's oral reading, and engage in a comprehension conversation. Students typically read between three to six texts, alternating between fiction and non-fiction, during a single F\&P assessment cycle.

F\&P assessment texts are leveled on a gradient, with the least challenging texts at Level A, and the most challenging at Level Z. See Graph 13 for the complete F\&P text gradient. Graph 14 lists the LPS-specific expectations for achievement on F\&P by grade level.

When analyzing F\&P results (Graph 15) from the Spring 2014 assessment cycle, we see patterns that mirror those of the MCAS results. Students with disabilities, students from low-income backgrounds, ELLs, African American, and Latino students score at lower levels than do other students.

## Graph 13



Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Instructional Levels

|  | Beginning of Year September | $1^{\text {st }}$ Interval December | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Interval March | End of Year June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | Below A | A+ | B+ | C+ |
|  |  | A | B | C |
|  |  | Below A | Below A | A |
|  |  |  |  | Below A |
| 1 | D +6 | F+ 10 | H+14 | J+ |
|  | C 3/4 | E 8/10 | G 12 | J 18 |
|  | B2 | D 6 | F | H |
|  | Below B | Below D | Below F | Below H |
| 2 | J+ | K+ | M+ | $\mathrm{N}+$ |
|  | J 18 | K 20 | L 24 | M 28 |
|  | I 16 | J 18 | K 20 | L 24 |
|  | Below I 16 | Below J 18 | Below K 20 | Below L 24 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{N}+$ | O+ | P+ | Q+ |
|  | M | N | O | P |
|  | L | M | N | O |
|  | Below L | Below M | Below N | Below O |
| 4 | Q+ | R+ | S+ | T+ |
|  | P | Q | R | S |
|  | 0 | P | Q | R |
|  | Below O | Below P | Below Q | Below R |
| 5 | T+ | U+ | V+ | W+ |
|  | S | T | U | V |
|  | R | S | T | U |
|  | Below R | Below S | Below T | Below U |
| 6 | W+ | X+ | Y+ | Z |
|  | V | W | X | Y |
|  | U | V | W | X |
|  | Below U | Below V | Below W | Below X |
| 7 | Z | Z | Z+ | Z+ |
|  | Y | Y | Z | Z |
|  | X | X | Y | Y |
|  | Below X | Below X | Below Y | Below Y |
| 8 | Z+ | Z+ | Z+ | Z+ |
|  | Z | Z | Z | Z |
|  | Y | Y | Y | Y |
|  | Below Y | Below Y | Below Y | Below Y |

Blue $=$ Exceeds Expectations
Green $=$ Meets Expectations
= Approaches Expectations: Needs Short-Term Intervention Orange $=$ Does Not Meet Expectations: Needs Intensive Interventio

Graph 15
2014 Spring Fountas \& Pinnell - Median Levels Below or Above Grade Level Target, Grades K-5


| Number of students by subgroup | All Students | Female | Male | African American | Asian | Hispanic/ Latino | Multi-Race, Non- Hispanic | White | High Needs | ELL | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ \text { Income } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Students w/ Disabilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lincoln Campus N | 402 | 211 | 191 | 40 | 28 | 39 | 28 | 264 | 111 | 12 | 50 | 67 |
| Hanscom Campus $\mathbb{N}$ | 350 | 169 | 181 | 47 | 17 | 57 | 29 | 198 | 106 | - | 49 | 63 |

## Overlapping Subgroup Membership

This report looks at MCAS and F\&P achievement through the lens of student membership in demographic subgroups. The overlapping nature of group membership in these categories will need to be considered when considering next steps in addressing achievement gaps.

The overlapping nature of subgroup membership is outlined in Graph 16.
On the Lincoln campus, for instance, over 50\% of Latino and African American students are also members of one of the High Needs categories: either they come from low-income households, they have disabilities, or they are both low-income and have disabilities. On the Hanscom campus, almost half of Asian students have similar subgroup membership.

Educators walk an important and fine line when pointing out patterns of achievement among subgroups whose membership is determined by demographic characteristics. We stand firm in our belief that all students are capable of excellence. At the same time, we are aware that all students are affected by the weight - or the lift - of the social opportunities afforded to them outside the schoolhouse doors. Our job in the Lincoln Public Schools is to learn about each student as an individual, to understand their current strengths and learning needs, and to create systems of academic and social opportunity that shepherd them forward in their development. Our hope is that by looking more closely at patterns of achievement and growth through a lens of subgroup membership, we will improve our ability to refine instructional programs that close existing gaps.

Graph 16
\% of Students Low Income, Students w/ Disabilities, and Both by Race \& Ethnicity, 2014-2015


| Student count as of <br> $\mathbf{1 1 / 1 3 / 1 4}$ | All Students | African <br> American | Asian | Hispanic/ <br> Latino | Multi-Race, Non- <br> Hispanic | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lincoln Campus $\mathbb{N}$ | 590 | 58 | 36 | 60 | 44 | 390 |
| Hanscom Campus $\mathbb{N}$ | 513 | 69 | 19 | 87 | 34 | 300 |


[^0]:    * High Needs is an unduplicated count of students belonging to any of the following three subgroups - ELL \& Former ELL, Low Income, and Students w/ Disabilities.

[^1]:    **The first number is for ELA; the number in parentheses is for Math. When only one number appears, an equal number of students participated in both the ELA and Math exams.

[^2]:    **The first number is for ELA; the number in parentheses is for Math. When only one number appears, an equal number of students participated in both the ELA and Math exams.

[^3]:    **The first number is for ELA; the number in parentheses is for Math. When only one number appears, an equal number of students participated in both the ELA and Math exams

